# affyPLM: Fitting Probe Level Models

Ben Bolstad bmb@bmbolstad.com http://bmbolstad.com

October 30, 2018

## Contents

1	Introduction			<b>2</b>			
<b>2</b>	Fitt	ing Pr	obe Level Models	<b>2</b>			
	2.1	What	is a Probe Level Model and What is a PLMset?	2			
	2.2	Gettin	g Started with the Default Model	2			
	2.3	Gettin	g Full Control over fitPLM	4			
		2.3.1	Pre-processing	4			
		2.3.2	Controlling what is Returned in the <i>PLMset</i>	4			
		2.3.3	Controlling how the model is fit	5			
	2.4	Specify	ying models in fitPLM	6			
		2.4.1	Notation	7			
		2.4.2	RMA style PLM	8			
		2.4.3	PLM with chip-level factor and covariate variables	8			
		2.4.4	Probe intensity covariate PLM	9			
		2.4.5	PLM with both probe types as response variables	11			
	2.4.6 PLM with probe-effects estimated within levels of a chip-level fac-						
			tor variable	12			
		2.4.7	PLM with probe-effect estimated within probe.type	13			
		2.4.8	PLM without chip level effects	13			
		2.4.9	PLM with only probe-effects	14			
		2.4.10	Constraints	14			
3	Hov	v long	will it take to run the model fitting procedures?	15			
4	Dea	ling w	ith the PLMset object	16			
A	M-e	stimat	ion: How fitPLM fits models	16			

B Variance Matrix and Standard error estimates for fitPLM

## **1** Introduction

This majority of this document describes the fitPLM function. Other vignettes for affy-PLM describe quality assessment tools and the threestep function for computing expression measures. After starting R, the package should be loaded using:

```
> library(affyPLM)
> require(affydata)
> data(Dilution)  # an example dataset provided by the affydata package
> ##FIXME: drop this after Dilution is updated
> Dilution = updateObject(Dilution)
> options(width=36)
```

this will load affyPLM as well as the affy package and its dependencies. The *Dilution* dataset will serve as an example dataset for this document.

## 2 Fitting Probe Level Models

#### 2.1 What is a Probe Level Model and What is a PLMset?

A probe level model (PLM) is a model that is fit to probe-intensity data. More specifically, it is where we fit a model with probe level and chip level parameters on a probeset by probeset basis. It is easy to arrange the probe-intensity data for a probeset so that the rows are probes and the columns are chips. In this case, our probe level parameters could be factor variable for each probe. The chip level parameters might be a factor variable with a level for each array, factor variables grouping the chips into treatment groups or perhaps some sort of covariate variable (pH, temperature, etc).

A *PLMset* is an R object that holds the results of a fitted probe level model. Among the items stored are parameter estimates and corresponding standard errors, weights and residuals.

#### 2.2 Getting Started with the Default Model

The main function for fitting PLM is the function fitPLM. The easiest way to call the function is to call it by passing an *AffyBatch* object without any other arguments, this will fit a linear model with an effect estimated for each chip and an effect for each probe. This can be accomplished using:

> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution)</pre>

Once you have a fitted model stored in a *PLMset* object the chip level parameter estimates and the corresponding standard errors can be examined using the accessor functions **coefs** and **se** respectively. For example, to examine the parameter estimates for the first 5 probesets and their corresponding standard error estimates use:

> coefs(Pset)[1:5,]

```
20A
                         20B
1000_at
          7.712294 7.589361
1001_at
          5.403364 5.246518
1002_f_at 6.001354 5.842818
1003_s_at 6.682994 6.369082
1004_at
          6.265231 5.923743
               10A
                         10B
1000_at
          7.604202 7.553845
1001_at
          5.273806 5.361613
1002_f_at 5.875315 5.901890
1003_s_at 6.497949 6.402645
          6.150849 6.088775
1004_at
```

> se(Pset)[1:5,]

```
20A
                             20B
1000_at
          0.03798884 0.03674159
1001_at
          0.05850398 0.05889818
1002_f_at 0.06684381 0.06552410
1003_s_at 0.06114870 0.05960099
1004_at
          0.05008044 0.04901129
                 10A
                             10B
1000_at
          0.03947771 0.03526104
1001_at
          0.05917896 0.05606087
1002_f_at 0.06373685 0.06468718
1003_s_at 0.06161744 0.06088377
          0.04981253 0.04707150
1004_at
```

Note that the default model is the RMA expression measure model. Specifically, the default model is

$$\log_2 \mathrm{PM}_{kij} = \beta_{kj} + \alpha_{ki} + \epsilon_{kij}$$

where  $\beta_{kj}$  is the log<sub>2</sub> gene expression value on array j for probeset k and  $\alpha_{ki}$  are probe effects. Note that to make the model identifiable the constraint  $\sum_{i=1}^{I} \alpha_{ki} = 0$  is used. Thus, for this default model, the parameter estimates given are gene expression values.

## 2.3 Getting Full Control over fitPLM

While the default model is very useful and simple to use, the fitPLM function also provides the user with a great deal of control. Specifically, the user has the ability to change the preprocessing, how the model is fitted and what output is returned.

### 2.3.1 Pre-processing

By default, the fitPLM function will preprocess the data using the RMA preprocessing steps. In particular, it uses the same background and normalization as the rma function of the affy package. It is possible to turn off either of these preprocessing steps by specifying that background and/or normalize are FALSE in the call to fitPLM. The arguments background.method and normalize.method can be used to control which pre-processing methods are used. The same preprocessing methods, as described in the threestep vignette, may be used with the fitPLM function.

### 2.3.2 Controlling what is Returned in the *PLMset*

The *PLMset* that the fitPLM function returns contains a number of different quantities, some of which are always returned such as parameter estimates and standard error estimates and others which are more optional. The user can control whether weights, residuals, variance-covariance matrices and residual standard deviations are returned. By default, all of these items are returned, but in certain situations a user might find it useful to exclude certain items to save memory. Control is via the output.param argument which should be provided as a list. The default settings can be seen by typing

```
> verify.output.param()
```

\$weights
[1] TRUE
\$residuals
[1] TRUE

\$varcov
[1] "none"

\$resid.SE
[1] TRUE

Control of whether weights, residuals and residual standard deviations are returned is via logical variables. There are three options varcov = "none", varcov = "chiplevel" and varcov = "all" for variance covariance matrices. These correspond to, not returning any variance estimates, only the portion of the variance covariance matrix related to

the chiplevel parameters or the entire variance covariance matrix respectively. When each probeset has a large number of probes (or there are large numbers of parameters in the model) the last option will return many large variance covariance matrices. The following code returns a PLMset with no weights or residuals stored:

#### > Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution,output.param=list(residuals=FALSE,weights=FALSE))</pre>

#### 2.3.3 Controlling how the model is fit

fitPLM implements iteratively re-weighted least squares M-estimation regression. Control over how fitPLM carries out the model fitting procedure is given by the model.param argument. This value of this parameter should be a list of settings. In particular, these settings are the following:

- trans.fn which controls how the response variable is transformed. This value should be a string. By default trans.fn="log2", but other possible options include: "loge" or "ln" to use the natural logarithm, "log10" for logarithm base 10, "sqrt" for square root and "cuberoot" to use a cubic root transformation.
- se.type which controls how the variance-covariance matrix is estimated in the Mestimation procedure. Possible values are 1, 2, 3 or 4. See the Appendix for more details.
- psi.type is a string which selects how the weights are computed in the robust regression. By default psi.type="Huber". Other possible options include "fair", "Cauchy", "Geman-McClure", "Welsch", "Tukey", and "Andrews". More details can be found in the Appendix.
- psi.k is a numerical tuning constant used by psi.type. The default values are dependent on the option chosen by psi.type. More details can be found in the Appendix.
- max.its controls the maximum number of iterations of IRLS that will be used in the model fitting procedure. By default max.its=20. Note, that this many iterations may not be needed if convergence occurs.
- init.method controls how the initial parameter estimates are derived. By default init.method="ls" ordinary least squares is used although "Huber" is also a possibility.
- weights.chip are input weights for each chip in the dataset. This parameter should be a vector of length number of arrays in the dataset. By default, every chip is given equal weight.

• weights.probe are input weights for each probe in the dataset. This parameter should be a vector of length number of probes in dataset (this length depends on the response variable in the model). By default, every probe has equal weight.

As an example, we use model.param to control the fitting procedure so that it is fit as a standard linear regression model (ie without robustness). This is accomplished by:

> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution,model.param=list(max.its=0))</pre>

## 2.4 Specifying models in fitPLM

Although the default model is very useful, it is by no means the only model that can be fitted using fitPLM. In this section we describe many, but certainly not all the different types of models which may be fitted. In the example code presented here we will use the subset argument to restrict model fitting to the first 100 probesets for speed. In any real analysis model fitting would be carried out to all probesets on a particular array type.

#### 2.4.1 Notation

i	Index for probes $i = 1, \ldots, I_k$
j	Index for arrays $j = 1, \ldots, J$
k	Index for probeset $k = 1, \ldots, K$
l	Index for probe type $l = 1, 2$ where 1 is PM and 2 is MM.
m	Index for level of primary treatment factor variable $m = 1, \ldots, M$
$\alpha_{ki}$	probe effect parameter for probe $i$
	Included in the model by using <b>probes</b>
$\alpha_{kim}$	probe effect parameter for probe $i$ estimated only for arrays where
	primary treatment factor variable is level $m$
	Included in the model by using treatment:probes
$\alpha_{kil}$	probe effect parameter for probe $i$ estimated only for probes of
	type $l$
	Included in the model by using probe.type:probes
$\alpha_{kilm}$	probe effect parameter for probe $i$ estimated only for probes of
	type $l$ where primary treatment factor variable is level $m$
	Included in the model by using treatment:probe.type:probes
$\beta_{kj}$	array (chip) effect.
	Included in the model by using samples
$\phi_{kl}$	probe-type effect
	Included in the model by using probe.types
$\phi_{klm}$	probe-type effect for probe type $l$ estimated only for arrays where
	primary treatment factor variable is level $m$
	Included in the model by using treatment:probe.types
$\phi_{klj}$	probe-type effect for probe type $l$ estimated only for array $j$
0	Included in the model by using samples:probe.types
$\theta$	a vector of chip-level parameters
$\mu_k$	an intercept parameter
$\gamma_k$	a slope parameter
$y_{kijl}$	a processed probe-intensity. Typically on $\log_2$ scale.
$\epsilon_{kijl}$	an error term
$x_j$	measurements of chip-level factor and covariate variables for chip $j$
	In the model descriptions below we will use treatment, trt.cov for these
	In practice these would be replaced with names of variables in the current
	R environment or the <i>phenoData</i> of the supplied AffyBatch.

Since we are focusing on models that are fitted in a probeset by probeset manner for brevity the subscript k will be omitted from further discussion. Note the terms probes, samples and probe.types are considered reserved words when specifying a model to fitPLM.

terms.

#### 2.4.2 RMA style PLM

These are variations of the RMA model each consisting of models with chip and probeeffects. The first, PM  $\sim -1$  + samples + probes, is the default model used when no model is specified in the fitPLM call.

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ij1} = \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + samples + probes
$y_{ij1} = \mu + \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> samples + probes</code>
$y_{ij1} = \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + samples
$y_{ij1} = \mu + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> samples</code>
$y_{ij2} = \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + samples + probes
$y_{ij2} = \mu + \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ samples + probes
$y_{ij2} = \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + samples
$y_{ij2} = \mu + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ samples

#### 2.4.3 PLM with chip-level factor and covariate variables

These models use treatment variables as an alternative to sample effects for the chip level factors.

Model	fitPLM syntax		
$y_{ij1} = x_j^T \theta + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + treatment + trt.cov + probes		
$y_{ij1} = x_j^T \theta + \epsilon_{ij}$ $y_{ij2} = x_j^T \theta + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + treatment + trt.cov		
$y_{ij2} = x_i^T \theta + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + treatment + trt.cov + probes		
$y_{ij2} = x_j^T \theta + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + treatment + trt.cov		

For example to fit, a model with effects for both liver tissue concentration and scanner along with probe effects with MM as the response variable to the first 100 probesets of the Dilution dataset the following code would be used:

```
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, MM ~ -1 + liver + scanner + probes, subset = geneNames(Dil
```

Examining the fitted chip-level parameter estimates for the first probeset via:

```
> coefs(Pset)[1,]
```

liver\_10 liver\_20 scanner\_2 6.26484583 6.41754460 -0.07134098

shows that the treatment effect for scanner was constrained to make the model identifiable. fitPLM always leaves the first factor variable unconstrained if there is no intercept term. All other chip level factor variables are constrained. The parameter estimates for the probe effects can be examined as follows:

```
> coefs.probe(Pset)[1]
```

\$`1000\_at`

	Overall
probe_1	3.0216710
probe_2	0.2126189
probe_3	-2.0222307
probe_4	-0.3203435
probe_5	-2.0974766
probe_6	3.3955995
probe_7	-2.7692459
probe_8	-3.5822543
probe_9	-1.2662559
probe_10	-1.3146932
probe_11	-0.8544930
probe_12	-2.0119851
probe_13	3.0164205
probe_14	4.5947743
probe_15	1.8807170

To make a treat a variable as a covariate rather than a factor variable the variable.type argument may be used. For example, to fit a model with the logarithm of liver concentration treated as a covariate we could do the following:

```
> logliver <- log2(c(20,20,10,10))
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution,model=PM~-1+probes+logliver+scanner, variable.type=c(logliv
Warning: No default variable type so assuming 'factor'
> coefs(Pset)[1,]
logliver scanner_1 scanner_2
0.04494461 7.47722447 7.44720674
```

#### 2.4.4 Probe intensity covariate PLM

This class of models allows the inclusion of PM or MM probe intensities as covariate variables in the model. Note that the fitting methods currently used by fitPLM are robust, but not resistant (ie outliers in the response variable are dealt with, but outliers in explanatory variables are not).

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ij1} = \gamma y_{ij2} + \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + MM + samples + probes
$y_{ij1} = \gamma y_{ij2} + \mu + \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> MM + samples + probes</code>
$y_{ij1} = \gamma y_{ij2} + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + MM +samples
$y_{ij1} = \gamma y_{ij2} + \mu + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ MM + samples
$y_{ij2} = \gamma y_{ij1} + \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + PM + samples + probes
$y_{ij2} = \gamma y_{ij1} + \mu + \beta_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ PM + samples + probes
$y_{ij2} = \gamma y_{ij1} + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + PM +samples
$y_{ij2} = \gamma y_{ij1} + \mu + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ PM + samples
$y_{ij1} = x_j^T \theta + \gamma y_{ij2} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> MM + treatment + trt.cov + probes</code>
$y_{ij1} = x_j^T \theta + \gamma y_{ij2} + \epsilon_{ij}$	PM $\sim$ MM + treatment + trt.cov
$y_{ij2} = x_j^T \theta + \gamma y_{ij1} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ PM + treatment + trt.cov + probes
$y_{ij2} = x_j^T \theta + \gamma y_{ij1} + \epsilon_{ij}$	MM $\sim$ PM + treatment + trt.cov

To fit a model with an intercept term, MM covariate variable, sample and probe effects use the following code:

```
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, PM ~ MM + samples + probes, subset = geneNames(Dilution)[1
```

We can examine the various parameter estimates for the model fit to the first probeset using:

```
> coefs(Pset)[1,]
        20B
                    10A
                                10B
-0.01390033 -0.02593732 -0.05154342
> coefs.const(Pset)[1,]
Intercept
                 MM
6.9439916 0.1131364
> coefs.probe(Pset)[1]
$`1000_at`
             Overall
probe_1 -0.09248614
probe_2
        0.05086713
probe_3 -2.05564830
probe_4
        1.55090007
probe_5 -1.63841905
probe_6
        1.05603587
probe_7
        -3.40366080
probe_8
        -1.07325873
probe_9
          0.18670389
probe_10 -0.52200547
```

probe\_11 -0.85784749 probe\_12 0.73605865 probe\_13 2.18822218 probe\_14 2.96008104 probe\_15 1.31332885

As can be seen by this example code intercept and covariate parameters are accessed using coefs.const.

#### 2.4.5 PLM with both probe types as response variables

It is possible to fit a model that uses both PM and MM intensities as the response variable. This is done by specifying PMMM as the response term in the model. When both PM and MM intensities are used as the response, there is a special reserved term *probe.type* which may (optionally) be used as part of the model specification. This term designates that a probe type effect (ie whether PM or MM) should be included in the model.

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ijl} = \beta_j + \phi_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> -1 + samples + probe.type + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \beta_j + \phi_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> samples + probe.type + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \beta_j + \phi_j + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> -1 + samples+ probe.type</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \beta_j + \phi_j + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> samples+ probe.type</code>
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \phi_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + probe.type + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \phi_j + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + probe.types</code>
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \phi_j + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + probe.type + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \phi_j + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + probe.type</code>

For example to fit such a model with factor variables for liver RNA concentration, probe type and probe effects use:

> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, PMMM ~ liver + probe.type + probes, subset = geneNames(Dil

Examining the parameter estimates:

```
> coefs(Pset)[1,]
```

[1] 0.06796632

```
> coefs.const(Pset)[1,]
```

Intercept probe.type\_MM 7.597301 -1.317395

```
> coefs.probe(Pset)[1]
```

\$`1000\_at`

	Overall
probe_1	1.6712982
probe_2	0.1412111
probe_3	-2.1775557
probe_4	0.6740782
probe_5	-2.0523876
probe_6	2.3925977
probe_7	-3.2392634
probe_8	-2.6055623
probe_9	-0.5632586
probe_10	-0.9691117
probe_11	-0.9129540
probe_12	-0.7431879
probe_13	2.7703955
probe_14	4.0347865
probe_15	1.7050728

shows that probe type estimates are also accessed by using coefs.const.

# 2.4.6 PLM with probe-effects estimated within levels of a chip-level factor variable

It is also possible to estimate separate probe-effects for each level of a chip-level factor variable.

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ij1} = x_j^T \theta + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ij1}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> treatment:probes + treatment + trt.cov</code>
$y_{ij1} = y_{ij2}\gamma + x_j^T\theta + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ij1}$	$\text{PM}\sim\text{MM}$ + treatment + treatment:probes + trt.cov
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \phi_j + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + treatment:probes</code>

Fitting such a model with probe effects estimated within the levels of the liver variable is done with:

```
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, PM ~ -1 + liver + liver:probes, subset = geneNames(Dilutio
```

Examining the estimated probe-effects for the first probeset can be done via:

```
> coefs.probe(Pset)[1]
```

\$`1000\_at`

	liver_10:	liver_20:
probe_1	0.45658552	0.18539206
probe_2	0.09857862	0.03521846

probe_3	-2.32629757	-2.26742904
probe_4	1.56680474	1.44646328
probe_5	-1.79470843	-2.21774179
probe_6	1.41541418	1.46642950
probe_7	-3.73516433	-3.50762769
probe_8	-1.66741086	-1.29889473
probe_9	-0.02414340	0.07770227
probe_10	-0.77865227	-0.47421776
probe_11	-0.95612811	-0.97135598
probe_12	0.37531107	0.60090422
probe_13	2.46981266	2.57311873
probe_14	3.54667188	3.39711587
probe_15	1.63293065	1.42011704

#### 2.4.7 PLM with probe-effect estimated within probe.type

Probe effects can also be estimated within probe type or within probe type for each level of the primary treatment factor variable.

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \alpha_{il} + \epsilon_{ij1}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + probe.type:probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = x_j^T \theta + \alpha_{ilm} + \epsilon_{ij1}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment + trt.cov + treatment:probe.type:probes</code>

As an example, use the following code to fit such models and then examine the possible

```
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, PMMM ~ -1 + liver + probe.type:probes,subset = geneNames
> coefs.probe(Pset)[1]
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, PMMM ~ -1 + liver + liver:probe.type:probes,subset = gen
> coefs.probe(Pset)[1]
```

#### 2.4.8 PLM without chip level effects

It is possible to fit models which do not have any chip-level variables at all. If this is the case, then the probe type effect takes precedence over any probe effects in the model. That is it will be unconstrained.

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ijl} = \alpha_i + \phi_{jl} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> -1 + probe.type + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \phi_{jl} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> probe.type + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \phi_l + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> -1 + probe.type + treatment:probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \phi_l + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> probe.type + treatment:probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \phi_{lj} + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> samples:probe.type + treatment:probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \phi_{lm} + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math display="inline">\sim</math> treatment:probe.type + treatment:probes</code>

#### 2.4.9 PLM with only probe-effects

It is also possible to fit models where only probe effects alone are estimated.

Model	fitPLM syntax
$y_{ij1} = \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij1}$	PM $\sim$ -1 + probes
$y_{ij1} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij1}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> probes</code>
$y_{ij1} = alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ij1}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> -1 + treatment:probes</code>
$y_{ij1} = \mu + (\theta\alpha)_{im_j} + \epsilon_{ij1}$	<code>PM <math>\sim</math> treatment:probes</code>
$y_{ij2} = \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij2}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + probes
$y_{ij2} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij2}$	MM $\sim$ probes
$y_{ij2} = \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ij2}$	MM $\sim$ -1 + treatment:probes
$y_{ij2} = \mu + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ij2}$	${ m MM}~\sim$ treatment:probes
$y_{ijl} = \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> -1 + probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> -1 + treatment:probes</code>
$y_{ijl} = \mu + \alpha_{im} + \epsilon_{ijl}$	<code>PMMM <math>\sim</math> treatment:probes</code>

#### 2.4.10 Constraints

These are the constraints that will be imposed to make the models identifiable (when needed):

Parameter	Constraints	Default
1 aranneter		Delault
$\beta_i$	$\sum_{i=0}^{J} \beta_i = 0 \text{ or } \beta_1 = 0$	$\beta_1 = 0$
$\phi_l$	$\sum_{j=0}^{J} \beta_{i} = 0 \text{ or } \beta_{1} = 0$ $\sum_{l=1}^{2} \phi_{l} = 0 \text{ or } \phi_{1} = 0$	$\phi_1 = 0$
$\phi_{lj}$	$\sum_{l=1}^{2} \phi_{lj} = 0 \text{ or } \phi_{1j} = 0$	$\phi_{1j} = 0$
$\phi_{lm}$	$\sum_{l=1}^{2} \phi_{lm} = 0 \text{ or } \phi_{1m} = 0$	$\phi_{1m} = 0$
$lpha_i$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_i = 0 \text{ or } \alpha_1 = 0$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_i = 0$ $\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{im} = 0$
$lpha_{im}$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{im} = 0 \text{ or } \alpha_{1m} = 0$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{im} = 0$
$lpha_{il}$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{il} = 0 \text{ or } \alpha_{1l} = 0$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{il} = 0$
$\alpha_{ilm}$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{ilm} = 0 \text{ or } \alpha_{1lm} = 0$	$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \alpha_{ilm} = 0$
In conor	al there is a general hierar	hy by which itoma

In general, there is a general hierarchy by which items are left unconstrained:

intercept > treatment > sample > probe.type > probes

the highest term in this hierarchy that is in a particular model is always left unconstrained, everything else will be constrained. So for example a model containing probe type and probe effects will have the probe type effects unconstrained and the probe effects constrained.

Constraints are controlled using the constraint.type argument which is a vector with named items should be either "contr.sum" or "contr.treatment". The names for this vector should be names of items in the model.

#### > data(Dilution)

```
> ##FIXME: remove next line
> Dilution = updateObject(Dilution)
> Pset <- fitPLM(Dilution, model = PM ~ probes + samples,constraint.type=c(samples="c
Warning: No default constraint specified. Assuming 'contr.treatment'.
```

```
> coefs.const(Pset)[1:2]
```

[1] 7.633951 5.368074

> coefs(Pset)[1:2,]

20A 20B 1000\_at 0.03532897 0.008747425 1001\_at -0.02135238 0.033203170 10A 1000\_at -0.005110253 1001\_at -0.033062279

## 3 How long will it take to run the model fitting procedures?

It may take considerable time to run the fitPLM function. The length of time it is going to take to run the model fitting procedure will depend on a number of factors including:

- 1. CPU speed
- 2. Memory size of the machine (RAM and VM)
- 3. Array type
- 4. Number of arrays
- 5. Number of parameters in model

It is recommended that you run the fitPLM function only on machines with large amounts of RAM. If you have a large number of arrays the number of parameters in your model will have the greatest effect on runtime.

## 4 Dealing with the PLMset object

As previously mentioned, the results of a call to fitPLM are stored in a PLMset object. There are a number of accessor functions that can be used to access values stored in a PLMset including:

- coefs and se: access chip-level factor/covariate parameter and standard error estimates.
- coefs.probe and se.probe: access probe effect parameter and standard error estimates.
- coefs.const and se.const: access intercept, MM covariate and probe type effect parameter and standard error estimates.
- weights: access final weights from M-estimation procedure. Note that you may optionally supply a vector of probeset names as a second parameter to get weights for only a subset of probes.
- resids: access residuals. Note that you may optionally supply a vector of probeset names as a second parameter to get residuals for only a subset of probes.
- varcov: access variance matrices.

## A M-estimation: How fitPLM fits models

Suppose we wish to fit the following model

$$y_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta) + \epsilon_i \tag{1}$$

where  $y_i$  is a response variable,  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a vector of explanatory variables, and  $\theta$  is a vector of parameters to be estimated. An estimator of  $\theta$  is given by

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta) \right)^2 \tag{2}$$

which is the known least squares estimator. In some situations, outliers in the response variable can have significant effect on the estimates of the parameters. To deal with potential problem we need a robust method of fitting the model. One such method is known as M-estimation. An M-estimator for this regression, taking into account scale, is the solution of

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho\left(\frac{y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)}{s}\right)$$
(3)

where  $\rho$  is a suitable function. Reasonable properties for  $\rho$  include symmetry  $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ , a minimum at  $\rho(0) = 0$ , positive  $\rho(x) \ge 0 \forall x$  and increasing as the absolute value of x increases, i.e.  $\rho(x_i) \ge \rho(x_j)$  if  $|x_i| > |x_j|$ . Furthermore, there the need to estimate s, where s is a scale estimate. One approach is to estimate both s and  $\theta$  using a system of equations. The approach that we use is to estimate s using the median absolute deviation (MAD) which provides a robust estimate of scale. The above equation leads to solving

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi\left(\frac{y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)}{s}\right) = 0.$$
(4)

where  $\psi$  is the derivative of  $\rho$ . Note that strictly speaking, for robustness,  $\psi$  should be bounded. Now define  $r_i = \frac{y_i - f(x_i, \theta)}{s}$  and a weight function  $w(r_i) = \frac{\psi(r_i)}{r_i}$ . Then the previous equation can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} w(r_i) r_i = 0$$
 (5)

which is the same as the set of equations that would be obtained if we were solving the iteratively re-weighted least squares problem

$$\min\sum_{i}^{N} w\left(r_{i}^{(n-1)}\right) r_{i}^{(n)^{2}} \tag{6}$$

where the superscript (n) represents the iteration number. More details about Mestimation can be found in Huber (1981). Tables 1 and 2 describe the various different weight functions and associated default constants provided by fitPLM.

## **B** Variance Matrix and Standard error estimates for

#### fitPLM

Huber (1981) gives three forms of asymptotic estimators for the variance-covariance matrix of parameter estimates  $\hat{b}$ .

$$\kappa^2 \frac{\sum \psi^2 / (n-p)}{(\sum \psi' / n)^2} (X^T X)^{-1}$$
(7)

$$\kappa \frac{\sum \psi^2 / (n-p)}{\sum \psi' / n} V^{-1} \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\sum \psi^2}{n-p} V^{-1} \left( X^T X \right) V^{-1} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\kappa = 1 + \frac{p}{n} \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\psi'\right)}{E\psi'} \tag{10}$$

Method	ho(x)	$\psi(x)$	w(x)
Huber $\begin{cases} \text{if }  x  \le k \\ \text{if }  x  > k \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} x^2/2\\k\left( x -k/2\right) \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} x \\ k \text{sgn}(x) \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 1 \\ \frac{k}{ x } \end{cases}$
fair	$c^2\left(\frac{ x }{c} - \log\left(1 + \frac{ x }{c}\right)\right)$	$\frac{x}{1+\frac{ x }{c}}$	$\frac{1}{1+\frac{ x }{c}}$
Cauchy	$\frac{c^2}{2}\log\left(1+(x/c)^2\right)$	$\frac{x}{1+(x/c)^2}$	$\frac{1}{1+(x/c)^2}$
Geman-McClure	$\frac{x^2/2}{1+x^2}$	$\frac{x}{(1+x^2)^2}$	$\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^2}$
Welsch	$\frac{c^2}{2}\left(1-\exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^2\right)\right)$	$x \exp\left(-(x/c)^2\right)$	$\exp\left(-(x/c)^2\right)$
Tukey $egin{cases}  ext{if }  x  \leq c \  ext{if }  x  > c \end{cases}$	$ \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{2} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^2\right) \right) \\ \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{6} \left( 1 - \left(1 - \left(x/c\right)^2\right)^3 \right) \\ \frac{c^2}{6} \end{cases} \end{cases} $	$\begin{cases} x \left(1 - (x/c)^2\right)^2 \\ 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \left(1 - (x/c)^2\right)^2\\ 0 \end{cases}$
Andrews $egin{cases}  ext{if }  x  \leq k\pi \\  ext{if }  x  > k\pi \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} k^2(1-\cos(x/k))\\ 2k^2 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} k \sin(x/k) \\ 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \frac{\sin(x/k)}{x/k} \\ 0 \end{cases}$

Table 1:  $\rho,\,\psi$  and weight functions for some common M-estimators.

Method	<b>Tuning Constant</b>
Huber	1.345
fair	1.3998
Cauchy	2.3849
Welsch	2.9846
Tukey	4.6851
Andrews	1.339

Table 2: Default tuning constants (k or c) for M-estimation  $\rho,\,\psi$  and weight functions.

$$V = X^T \Psi' X \tag{11}$$

and  $\Psi'$  is a diagonal matrix of  $\psi'$  values. When using fitPLM these are selected using se.type=1, se.type=2, or se.type=3 respectively. Treating the regression as a weighted least squares problem would give

$$\frac{\sum w(r_i)r_i^2}{n-p} \left(X^T W X\right)^{-1} \tag{12}$$

as the estimator for variance covariance matrix, where W is a diagonal matrix of weight values. This option is selected by using se.type=4.

## References

- B. M. Bolstad. Low Level Analysis of High-Density Oligonucleotide Data: Background, Normalization and Summarization. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2004.
- B. M. Bolstad, R. A. Irizarry, M. Astrand, and T. P. Speed. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics*, 19(2):185–193, Jan 2003.
- P. J. Huber. Robust statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, New York, 1981.
- R. A. Irizarry, B. M. Bolstad, F. Collin, L. M. Cope, B. Hobbs, and T. P. Speed. Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 31(4):e15, Feb 2003a.
- R. A. Irizarry, B. Hobbs, F. Collin, Y. D. Beazer-Barclay, K. J. Antonellis, U. Scherf, and T. P. Speed. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleot ide array probe level data. *Biostat*, 4(2):249–264, 2003b. URL http: //biostatistics.oupjournals.org/cgi/content/abstract/4/2/249.