Using the charm package to estimate DNA methylation levels and find differentially methylated regions

Peter Murakami^{*}, Martin Aryee, Rafael Irizarry

October 10, 2012

Johns Hopkins School of Medicine / Johns Hopkins School of Public Health Baltimore, MD, USA

1 Introduction

The Bioconductor package charm can be used to analyze DNA methylation data generated using McrBC fractionation and two-color Nimblegen microarrays. It is customized for use with data the from the custom CHARM microarray [2], but can also be applied to many other Nimblegen designs. The preprocessing and normalization methods are described in detail in [1].

Functions include:

- Quality control
- Finding suitable control probes for normalization
- Percentage methylation estimates
- Identification of differentially methylated regions
- Plotting of differentially methylated regions

As input we will need raw Nimblegen data (.xys) files and a corresponding annotation package built with pdInfoBuilder. This vignette uses the following packages:

- charm: contains the analysis functions
- charmData: an example dataset
- pd.charm.hg18.example: the annotation package for the example dataset

^{*}pmurakam@jhsph.edu

• BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg18: A BSgenome object containing genomic sequence used for finding non-CpG control probes

Each sample is represented by two xys files corresponding to the untreated (green) and methyl-depleted (red) channels. The 532.xys and 635.xys suffixes indicate the green and red channels respectively.

2 Analyzing data from the custom CHARM microarray

Load the charm package:

```
R> library(charm)
R> library(charmData)
```

3 Read in raw data

Get the name of your data directory (in this case, the example data):

```
R> dataDir <- system.file("data", package="charmData")
R> dataDir
```

[1] "/home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data"

First we read in the sample description file:

```
R> phenodataDir <- system.file("extdata", package="charmData")
R> pd <- read.delim(file.path(phenodataDir, "phenodata.txt"))
R> phenodataDir
```

[1] "/home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/extdata"

R> pd

	filename	sampleID	tissue
1	136421_532.xys	441_liver	liver
2	136421_635.xys	441_liver	liver
3	136600_532.xys	449_spleen	spleen
4	136600_635.xys	449_spleen	spleen
5	3788602_532.xys	449_liver	liver
6	3788602_635.xys	449_liver	liver
7	3822402_532.xys	441_spleen	${\tt spleen}$
8	3822402_635.xys	441_spleen	${\tt spleen}$
9	5739902_532.xys	624_colon	colon
10	5739902_635.xys	624_colon	colon
11	5875602_532.xys	441_colon	colon
12	5875602_635.xys	441_colon	colon

A valid sample description file should contain at least the following (arbitrarily named) columns:

- a filename column
- a sample ID column
- a group label column (optional)

The sample ID column is used to pair the methyl-depleted and untreated data files for each sample. The group label column is used when identifying differentially methylated regions between experimental groups.

The validatePd function can be used to validate the sample description file. When called with only a sample description data frame and no further options validatePd will try to guess the contents of the columns.

R> res <- validatePd(pd)</pre>

Now we read in the raw data. The **readCharm** command makes the assumption (unless told otherwise) that the two xys files for a sample have the same file name up to the suffixes 532.xys (untreated) and 635.xys (methyl-depleted). The sampleNames argument is optional. Note that if the ff package has been loaded previously in your R session, the output of readCharm will contain ff rather than matrix objects, and all subsequent charm functions acting on it (except those in pipeline 2 described below) will recognize this and use ff objects also. Using the ff package is recommended when the data set is otherwise too large for the amount of memory available.

Checking designs for each XYS file... Done.

```
Allocating memory... Done.
```

```
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/136421_532.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_532.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_532.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3822402_532.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/5739902_532.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/5875602_532.xys.
Checking designs for each XYS file... Done.
Allocating memory... Done.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/136421_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/136421_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/136600_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/3788602_635.xys.
Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/378902_635.xys.
```

Reading /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.4-bioc/R/library/charmData/data/5875602_635.xys.

R> rawData

```
TilingFeatureSet (storageMode: lockedEnvironment)
assayData: 243129 features, 6 samples
  element names: channel1, channel2
protocolData
  rowNames: 441_liver 449_spleen ... 441_colon (6
    total)
  varLabels: filenamesChannel1 filenamesChannel2
    dates1 dates2
  varMetadata: labelDescription channel
phenoData
 rowNames: 441_liver 449_spleen ... 441_colon (6
    total)
 varLabels: sampleID tissue arrayUT arrayMD
  varMetadata: labelDescription channel
featureData: none
experimentData: use 'experimentData(object)'
Annotation: pd.charm.hg18.example
```

4 Array quality assessment

We can calculate array quality scores and generate a pdf report with the qcReport command.

A useful quick way of assessing data quality is to examine the untreated channel where we expect every probe to have signal. Very low signal intensities on all or part of an array can indicate problems with hybridization or scanning. The CHARM array and many other designs include background probes that do not match any genomic sequence. Any signal at these background probes can be assumed to be the result of optical noise or cross-hybridization. Since the untreated channel contains total DNA a successful hybridization would have strong signal for all untreated channel genomic probes. The array signal quality score (pmSignal) is calculated as the average percentile rank of the signal robes among these background probes. A score of 100 means all signal probes rank above all background probes (the ideal scenario).

```
R> qual <- qcReport(rawData, file="qcReport.pdf")
R> qual
```

pmSignalsd1sd2441_liver78.564370.19502740.1932112449_spleen81.465410.17552250.1227921449_liver83.954190.12490300.2409803441_spleen81.437510.11807080.1824810624_colon82.557270.14908540.2035761441_colon79.380690.31302660.3962373

The PDF quality report is shown in Appendix A. Three quality metrics are calculated for each array:

- 1. Average signal strength: the average percentile rank of untreated channel signal probes among the background (anti-genomic) probes.
- 2. Untreated channel signal standard deviation. The array is divided into a series of rectangular blocks and the average signal level calculated for each. Since probes are arranged randomly on the array there should be no large differences between blocks. Arrays with spatial artifacts have a larg standard deviation between blocks.

3. Methyl-depleted channel signal standard deviation.

To remove samples with a quality score less than 78, we could do this:

```
R> qc.min = 78
R> ##Remove arrays with quality scores below qc.min:
R> rawData=rawData[,qual$pmSignal>=qc.min]
R> qual=qual[qual$pmSignal>=qc.min,]
R> pd=pd[pd$sampleID%in%rownames(qual),]
R> pData(rawData)$qual=qual$pmSignal
```

and to identify which probes have a mean quality score above 75 we could do this:

```
R> pmq = pmQuality(rawData)
R> rmpmq = rowMeans(pmq)
R> okqc = which(rmpmq>75)
```

We now want to calculate probe-level percentage methylation estimates for each sample. As a first step we need to identify a suitable set of unmethylated control probes from CpG-free regions to be used in normalization.

```
R> library(BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg18)
R> ctrlIdx <- getControlIndex(rawData, subject=Hsapiens, noCpGWindow=600)</pre>
```

We can check the success of the control probes by comparing their intensity distribution with the non-control probes (before any normalization in which the control probes are used).

```
non_control control diff
624_colon 3.539289e-01 -2.026216 2.380145
441_colon -5.328170e-02 -1.723868 1.670586
441_liver -1.475199e-01 -1.650623 1.503104
449_liver 3.455137e-01 -1.747331 2.092844
449_spleen -4.097402e-02 -2.404196 2.363222
441_spleen -1.070891e-05 -1.481341 1.481330
```

We can also access the probe annotation using standard functions from the oligo package.

R> chr = pmChr(rawData)
R> pns = probeNames(rawData)
R> pos = pmPosition(rawData)
R> seq = pmSequence(rawData)
R> pd = pData(rawData)

5 Percentage methylation estimates and differentially methylated regions (DMRs)

The minimal code required to estimate methylation would be p <- methp(rawData, controlIndex=ctrlIdx). However, it is often useful to get methp to produce a series of diagnostic density plots to help identify non-hybridization quality issues. The plotDensity option specifies the name of the output pdf file, and the optional plotDensityGroups can be used to give groups different colors. Remember that if the ff package was loaded before producing rawData with readCharm, the output of methp will be an ff rather than a matrix object. charm functions (except those in pipeline 2 described below) will handle ff p objects automatically.

```
R> p <- methp(rawData, controlIndex=ctrlIdx,
           plotDensity="density.pdf", plotDensityGroups=pd$tissue)
R > head(p)
     441_liver 449_spleen 449_liver 441_spleen 624_colon
[1,] 0.2238871 0.3851121 0.3838011 0.5533608 0.3256549
[2,] 0.7940413 0.6882399 0.3520629 0.8646770 0.5202657
[3,] 0.1419512 0.1248538 0.2418654 0.2082550 0.3173302
[4,] 0.5750124 0.4747203 0.4785456 0.4863800 0.3544160
[5,] 0.5853813 0.5202058 0.4144217 0.4311458 0.3625524
[6,] 0.6466173 0.7477538 0.7482526 0.7120180 0.8620204
     441_colon
[1.] 0.2950393
[2,] 0.8789355
[3,] 0.6945979
[4,] 0.4580516
[5,] 0.3676730
[6,] 0.8152410
```

For a simple unsupervised clustering of the samples, we can plot the results of a classical multi-dimensional scaling analysis.

null device 1

The density plots are shown in Appendix B and the MDS plot is shown in Appendix C.

5.1 Pipeline 1 (recommended): Regression-based DMRfinding after correcting for batch effects

Optionally, we may wish to restrict our search for DMRs to non-control probes exceeding some quality threshold. We may do that simply by subsetting:

```
R> Index = setdiff(which(rmpmq>75),ctrlIdx)
R> Index = Index[order(chr[Index], pos[Index])]
R> p0 = p #save for pipeline 2 example
R> p = p[Index,]
R> seq = seq[Index]
R> chr = chr[Index]
R> pos = pos[Index]
R> pns = pns[Index]
R> pns = clusterMaker(chr,pos)
```

You might also wish to consider excluding some probes from the between-array normalization step in methp earlier using the excludeIndex argument, e.g., excludeIndex=which(rmpmq<=50), however, note that it is probably inadvisable to remove probes from between-array normalization in methp that you will end up using in the analysis (note that the probes with mean qc < x1 are a subset of the probes with mean qc < x2 when x1=50 and x2=75 as in this example. Setting x1>x2 is not recommended as it would result in un-normalized probes being used in the analysis). Using the clusterMaker function was necessary in order to redefine the array regions since removing probes may result in too few probes per region or unacceptably large gaps between probes within the same region. At this point it may also be helpful to remove arrays whose average correlation with all other arrays is below some threshold, since it is often reasonable to assume that most probes are not differentially methylated between arrays. Unsupervised clustering would also probably tend to show such arrays as clustering separately. Another reason for removing arrays at this point is if they have missing data on any of the covariates to be used in the following analysis. Remember that any arrays excluded from this point forward should be removed from both p and pd.

To identify DMR candidates, we use the dmrFind function. As it requires the same mod and mod0 arguments as the sva() function from the sva package, we must first create these. Data with paired samples may be accommodated by including the pair ID column as a factor in mod and mod0.

```
R> mod0 = matrix(1,nrow=nrow(pd),ncol=1)
R> mod = model.matrix(~1 +factor(pd$tissue,levels=c("liver","colon","spleen")))
```

We may now call dmrFind. Setting the coeff argument to 2 means that we are interested in the colon-liver comparison, since it is the second column of mod that defines that comparison in the linear model.

```
R> library(corpcor)
R> thedmrs = dmrFind(p=p, mod=mod, mod0=mod0, coeff=2, pns=pns, chr=chr, pos=pos)
Running SVA
Number of significant surrogate variables is: 1
Iteration (out of 5 ):1 2 3 4 5
Regression
Obtaining estimates for factor(pd$tissue, levels = c("liver", "colon", "spleen"))colon
Smoothing
```

. . . .

Found 187 potential DMRs

To compare liver and spleen, set coeff to 3. To compare colon and spleen, you must redefine mod such that the first level is either colon or spleen, and then set coeff appropriately, e.g., mod = model.matrix(1 + factor(pd\$tissue, levels=c("colon","spleen","liver"))) and coeff=2. To avoid repeating the SVA analysis within dmrFind, you may provide the surrogate variables already identified above as an argument to subsequent dmrFind calls through the svs argument. The surrogate variables are located in thedmrs\$args\$svs, so adding svs=thedmrs\$args\$svs to the call to dmrFind would prevent SVA from being called again. As long as p (or logitp, if you provided logitp to dmrFind), mod, and mod0 are the same, the surrogate variables will be the same regardless of which comparison you explore.

Also note that if you adjust for covariates, their effects will be controlled for when finding DMRs, however their effects are not removed from the matrix of "cleaned" percent methylation estimates (i.e., cleanp) returned by dmrFind, which by default removes only batch effects (i.e., the surrogate variables identified by SVA). Consequently the adjustment covariate effects will still show up in the clustering results (and will probably be enhanced) and in the DMR plots (since they do not get removed from the cleanp matrix). Only the surrogate variables identified by SVA will be removed from the clustering results and the DMR plots, regardless of whether or not you adjust for covariates. Setting rob=FALSE in dmrFinder will cause the covariates' effects to be removed from cleanp as well (all except the covariate of interest). rob=TRUE by default because covariates explicitly adjusted for should typically be real biological rather than technical confounders, and removing the effects of real biological confounders from the percent methylation estimates would change them from being our best estimate of what the true percent methylation is for each probe in our sample to an adjusted version of this.

If you want to obtain FDR q-values for the DMR candidates returned by dmrFind, you may use the qval function as follows:

R> withq = qval(p=p, dmr=thedmrs, numiter=3, verbose=FALSE, mc=1)

. . . .

The numiter argument is set to 3 here only for convenience of demonstration. In reality it should be much higher (hundreds, if not thousands). The p argument provided must be the same as the one used in dmrFind. The qval function utilizes the parallel package that comes with R as of version 2.14. By default, mc=1 (no parallelization), however on multiple-core machines you can set qval to use more cores to parallelize the process. If you are working in a shared computing environment, take care not to request more cores than are available to you.

We may plot DMR candidates from dmrFind using the plotDMRs function. In order to mark the location of CpG islands in the second panel of each plot, we must first obtain a table identifying CpG islands. CpG island definitions according to the method of Wu et al (2010) [3] are available for a large number of genomes and are one source for such a table. Alternatively, CpG island definitions may be obtained from the UCSC Genome Browser, which is what we will use here for this example.

Instead of plotting the probe p-values in the 3rd panel, you may also wish to inspect the behavior of the green channel (total) across the DMR regions. To do this, you must first have obtained the green channel intensity matrix, which we do here after spatial adjustment and background correction. In addition to specifying panel3="G", we must also provide G and the sequences corresponding its rows (because the intensities are further corrected for gc-content).

.....Making 1 figures Plotting DMR candidate 1

5.1.1 Continuous covariate of interest

The dmrFind function also handles a continuous covariate of interest. Here we generate an artificial continuous covariate called x and perform the analysis using that.

Found 211 potential DMRs

To plot the DMR results, you may either categorize the continuous covariate as for example as follows

or you may plot the correlation of each probe with the covariate as follows:

Making 1 figures Plotting DMR candidate 1 An additional function that can be helpful for working with tables with columns "chr", "start", and "end" as many of the objects required or returned by these functions are is the regionMatch function, which finds for each region in one table the nearest region in another table (using the nearest() function in the IRanges package) and provides information on how near they are to each other.

```
R> ov = regionMatch(thedmrs$dmrs,thedmrs2$dmrs)
```

chr1 chr10 chr11 chr12 chr13 chr14 chr15 chr16 chr17 chr18 chr19 chr2 chr20 chr R> head(ov)

	dist	matchIndex	type	amountOverlap	insideDist	size1
1	0	2	cover	NA	NA	1467
2	0	7	cover	NA	NA	1053
3	0	9	cover	NA	NA	1117
4	0	126	cover	NA	NA	877
5	0	1	inside	NA	0	1001
6	0	34	cover	NA	NA	873
	size2	2				
1	1467	7				
2	948	3				
3	877	7				
4	142	2				
5	1136	5				
6	561	L				

One may also plot regions other than DMR candidates returned by dmrFind, using the plotRegions function.

```
Making 1 figures
null device
1
```

5.2 Pipeline 2: DMR-finding without adjusting for batch or other covariates

We can identify differentially methylated regions using the original dmrFinder:

```
R> dmr <- dmrFinder(rawData, p=p0, groups=pd$tissue,</pre>
         compare=c("colon", "liver","colon", "spleen"),
         removeIf=expression(nprobes<4 | abs(diff)<.05 | abs(maxdiff)<.05))</pre>
R> names(dmr)
 [1] "tabs"
               "p"
                          "ו"
                                    "chr"
                                               "pos"
 [6] "pns"
               "index"
                          "gm"
                                    "groups"
                                              "args"
[11] "comps"
               "package"
R> names(dmr$tabs)
[1] "colon-liver" "colon-spleen"
R> head(dmr$tabs[[1]])
       chr
              start
                          end
                                     p1
                                               p2
319
     chr12 88272817 88273844 0.8428603 0.2005431
358
     chr13 27090247 27091263 0.7748122 0.1843361
1750 chr6 52637747 52638747 0.7073828 0.1877457
1116 chr20 60187423 60188227 0.8206489 0.2033901
473
     chr15 58673117 58673819 0.8251858 0.3097418
     chr11 14620645 14621065 0.8445404 0.3523039
133
                  regionName indexStart indexEnd nprobes
     chr12:88266873-88274292
319
                                   40465
                                            40489
                                                        25
                                                        20
358 chr13:27090144-27095500
                                   45272
                                            45291
1750 chr6:52635302-52638967
                                  160819
                                           160843
                                                        25
                                                        24
1116 chr20:60143957-60188418
                                  122600
                                           122623
473
     chr15:58669815-58674073
                                   57658
                                            57677
                                                        20
     chr11:14620645-14623686
                                   28438
133
                                            28450
                                                        13
                             diff
          area
                 ttarea
                                     maxdiff
319 16.057930 789.7434 0.6423172 0.7588859
358 11.809522 734.8542 0.5904761 0.7325250
1750 12.990928 652.8536 0.5196371 0.6568954
1116 14.814212 532.5629 0.6172588 0.8308850
473 10.308878 526.9032 0.5154439 0.6572693
      6.399075 465.1490 0.4922366 0.6409308
133
```

When called without the compare option, dmrFinder performs all pairwise comparisons between the groups.

We can also plot DMR candidates with the dmrPlot function. Here we plot just the top DMR candidate from the first DMR table.

Smoothing:

Plotting finished.

We can also plot any given genomic regions using this data by using the **regionPlot** function, supplying the regions in a data frame that must have columns with names "chr", "start", and "end". Naturally, regions that are not on the array will not appear in the resulting file.

Plotting finished.

R>

The DMR plot is shown in Appendix D, and the plot of the user-provided region is shown in Appendix E.

5.2.1 Analysis of paired samples

If the samples are paired, we can also analyze them as such. To show this, let's pretend that the samples in our test data set are paired, and then use the dmrFinder function with the "paired" argument set to TRUE and the "pairs" argument specifying which samples are pairs. (In this example we also have to lower the cutoff since there are not enough samples to find any regions with the default cutoff of 0.995.)

We plot the, say, third DMR with the dmrPlot function (shown in Appendix F)

```
______
```

Plotting finished.

Plotting user-provided regions using the results of paired analysis is done using the **regionPlot** function as before (shown in Appendix G).

```
1
```

Plotting finished.

References

- Martin J. Aryee, Zhijin Wu, Christine Ladd-Acosta, Brian Herb, Andrew P. Feinberg, Srinivasan Yegnasubramanian, and Rafael A. Irizarry. Accurate genome-scale percentage dna methylation estimates from microarray data. *Biostatistics*, 12(2):197–210, 2011.
- [2] Irizarry et al. Comprehensive high-throughput arrays for relative methylation (charm). *Genome Research*, 18(5):780–790, 2008.
- [3] Wu et al. Redefining cpg islands using a hierarchical hidden markov model. Biostatistics, 11(3):499–514, 2010.

6 Appendix A: Quality report



Signal strength

Untreated Channel: PM probe quality



Enriched Channel: PM signal intensity



7 Appendix B: Density plots

Each row corresponds to one stage of the normalization process (Raw data, After spatial and background correction, after within-sample normalization, after between-sample normalization, percentage methylation estimates). The left column shows all probes, while the right column shows control probes.



8 Appendix C: MDS plot



9 Appendix D: DMR plot

DMR plot for the first DMR in the list.



10 Appendix E: Plot of an arbitrary genomic region

For the arbtirary region we just chose the first DMR.



11 Appendix F: DMR plot from analysis of paired samples

DMR plot for the third DMR in the list



12 Appendix G: Plot of an arbitrary genomic region, shown using paired results

For the arbtirary region we simply chose the same first DMR as in appendix E.



13 Details

This document was written using:

```
R> sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 3.3.1 (2016-06-21)
Platform: x86_64-pc-linux-gnu (64-bit)
Running under: Ubuntu 16.04.1 LTS
```

```
locale:
```

```
[1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8
                                LC_NUMERIC=C
 [3] LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8
                                LC_COLLATE=C
 [5] LC_MONETARY=en_US.UTF-8
                                LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8
 [7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8
                                LC_NAME=C
 [9] LC_ADDRESS=C
                                LC_TELEPHONE=C
[11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
attached base packages:
 [1] stats4
               grid
                         parallel stats
                                              graphics
 [6] grDevices utils
                         datasets methods
                                              base
other attached packages:
 [1] corpcor_1.6.8
 [2] BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg18_1.3.1000
 [3] BSgenome_1.42.0
 [4] rtracklayer_1.34.0
 [5] GenomicRanges_1.26.0
 [6] GenomeInfoDb_1.10.0
 [7] charmData_1.9.0
 [8] pd.charm.hg18.example_0.99.4
 [9] oligo_1.38.0
[10] Biostrings_2.42.0
[11] XVector_0.14.0
[12] IRanges_2.8.0
[13] S4Vectors_0.12.0
[14] oligoClasses_1.36.0
[15] RSQLite_1.0.0
[16] DBI_0.5-1
[17] charm_2.20.0
[18] genefilter_1.56.0
[19] RColorBrewer_1.1-2
[20] fields_8.4-1
[21] maps_3.1.1
[22] spam_1.4-0
[23] SQN_1.0.5
```

```
[24] nor1mix_1.2-2
```

```
[25] mclust_5.2
[26] Biobase_2.34.0
[27] BiocGenerics_0.20.0
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
 [1] SummarizedExperiment_1.4.0 gtools_3.5.0
 [3] locfit_1.5-9.1
                                splines_3.3.1
 [5] lattice_0.20-34
                                mgcv_1.8-15
 [7] survival_2.39-5
                                XML_3.98-1.4
 [9] BiocParallel_1.8.0
                                 affyio_1.44.0
                                 sva_3.22.0
[11] foreach_1.4.3
[13] zlibbioc_1.20.0
                                 codetools_0.2-15
[15] ff_2.2-13
                                 BiocInstaller_1.24.0
[17] AnnotationDbi_1.36.0
                                preprocessCore_1.36.0
[19] xtable_1.8-2
                                limma_3.30.0
[21] annotate_1.52.0
                                 affxparser_1.46.0
[23] bit_1.1-12
                                 Rsamtools_1.26.0
[25] tools_3.3.1
                                 bitops_1.0-6
[27] siggenes_1.48.0
                                 RCurl_1.95-4.8
[29] MASS_7.3-45
                                Matrix_1.2-7.1
[31] iterators_1.0.8
                                GenomicAlignments_1.10.0
[33] multtest_2.30.0
                                 nlme_3.1-128
```