

# Likelihood calculations for *vsn*

**Wolfgang Huber**

October 28, 2020

## Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
2	Setup and Notation . . . . .	1
3	Likelihood for Incremental Normalization . . . . .	2
4	Profile Likelihood . . . . .	3
5	Summary . . . . .	5

## 1 Introduction

---

This vignette contains the computations that underlie the numerical code of *vsn*. If you are a new user and looking for an introduction on how to **use** *vsn*, please refer to the vignette *Robust calibration and variance stabilization with vsn*, which is provided separately.

## 2 Setup and Notation

---

Consider the model

$$\operatorname{arsinh}(f(b_i) \cdot y_{ki} + a_i) = \mu_k + \varepsilon_{ki} \quad \mathbf{1}$$

where  $\mu_k$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  are real-valued parameters,  $f$  is a function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (see below), and  $\varepsilon_{ki}$  are i.i.d. Normal with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $y_{ki}$  are the data. In applications to  $\mu$ array data,  $k$  indexes the features and  $i$  the arrays and/or colour channels.

Examples for  $f$  are  $f(b) = b$  and  $f(b) = e^b$ . The former is the most obvious choice; in that case we will usually need to require  $b_i > 0$ . The choice  $f(b) = e^b$  assures that the factor in front of  $y_{ki}$  is positive for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and as it turns out, simplifies some of the computations.

In the following calculations, I will also use the notation

$$Y \equiv Y(y, a, b) = f(b) \cdot y + a \quad \mathbf{2}$$

$$h \equiv h(y, a, b) = \operatorname{arsinh}(f(b) \cdot y + a). \quad \mathbf{3}$$

## Likelihood calculations for vsn

The probability of the data  $(y_{ki})_{k=1\dots n, i=1\dots d}$  lying in a certain volume element of  $y$ -space (hyperrectangle with sides  $[y_{ki}^\alpha, y_{ki}^\beta]$ ) is

$$P = \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^d \int_{y_{ki}^\alpha}^{y_{ki}^\beta} dy_{ki} \, p_{\text{Normal}}(h(y_{ki}), \mu_k, \sigma^2) \frac{dh}{dy}(y_{ki}), \quad 4$$

where  $\mu_k$  is the expectation value for feature  $k$  and  $\sigma^2$  the variance.

With

$$p_{\text{Normal}}(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad 5$$

the likelihood is

$$L = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^{nd} \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^d \exp\left(-\frac{(h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \frac{dh}{dy}(y_{ki}). \quad 6$$

For the following, I will need the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial a} = 1 \quad 7$$

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial b} = y \cdot f'(b) \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dh}{dy} = \frac{f(b)}{\sqrt{1 + (f(b)y + a)^2}} = \frac{f(b)}{\sqrt{1 + Y^2}}, \quad 9$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + Y^2}}, \quad 10$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial b} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{1 + Y^2}} \cdot f'(b). \quad 11$$

Note that for  $f(b) = b$ , we have  $f'(b) = 1$ , and for  $f(b) = e^b$ ,  $f'(b) = f(b) = e^b$ .

## 3 Likelihood for Incremental Normalization

Here, *incremental normalization* means that the model parameters  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\sigma^2$  are already known from a fit to a previous set of  $\mu$ arrays, i. e. a set of reference arrays. See Section 4 for the profile likelihood approach that is used if  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\sigma^2$  are not known and need to be estimated from the same data. Versions  $\geq 2.0$  of the *vsn* package implement both of these approaches; in versions  $1.X$  only the profile likelihood approach was implemented, and it was described in the initial publication [1].

## Likelihood calculations for *vsn*

First, let us note that the likelihood [6](#) is simply a product of independent terms for different  $i$ . We can optimize the parameters  $(a_i, b_i)$  separately for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . From the likelihood [6](#) we get the  $i$ -th negative log-likelihood

$$-\log(L) = \sum_{i=1}^d -LL_i \quad 12$$

$$-LL_i = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{(h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log \frac{\sqrt{1 + Y_{ki}^2}}{f(b_i)} \right) \quad 13$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - n \log f(b_i) + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{(h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + Y_{ki}^2) \right) \quad 14$$

This is what we want to optimize as a function of  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ . The optimizer benefits from the derivatives. The derivative with respect to  $a_i$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}(-LL_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k}{\sigma^2} + \frac{Y_{ki}}{\sqrt{1 + Y_{ki}^2}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + Y_{ki}^2}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\sigma^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} \end{aligned} \quad 15$$

and with respect to  $b_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}(-LL_i) &= -n \frac{f'(b_i)}{f(b_i)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k}{\sigma^2} + \frac{Y_{ki}}{\sqrt{1 + Y_{ki}^2}} \right) \cdot \frac{y_{ki}}{\sqrt{1 + Y_{ki}^2}} \cdot f'(b_i) \\ &= -n \frac{f'(b_i)}{f(b_i)} + f'(b_i) \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\sigma^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} y_{ki} \end{aligned} \quad 16$$

Here, I have introduced the following shorthand notation for the “intermediate results” terms

$$r_{ki} = h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k \quad 17$$

$$A_{ki} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + Y_{ki}^2}}. \quad 18$$

Variables for these intermediate values are also used in the C code to organise the computations of the gradient.

## 4 Profile Likelihood

If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\sigma^2$  are not already known, we can plug in their maximum likelihood estimates, obtained from optimizing  $LL$  for  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d h(y_{kj}) \quad 19$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{nd} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d (h(y_{kj}) - \hat{\mu}_k)^2 \quad 20$$

## Likelihood calculations for $vsn$

into the negative log-likelihood. The result is called the negative profile log-likelihood

$$-PLL = \frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2) + \frac{nd}{2} - n \sum_{j=1}^d \log f(b_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \log \sqrt{1 + Y_{kj}^2}. \quad 21$$

Note that this no longer decomposes into a sum of terms for each  $j$  that are independent of each other – the terms for different  $j$  are coupled through Equations 19 and 20. We need the following derivatives.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}^2}{\partial a_i} &= \frac{2}{nd} \sum_{k=1}^n r_{ki} \frac{\partial h(y_{ki})}{\partial a_i} \\ &= \frac{2}{nd} \sum_{k=1}^n r_{ki} A_{ki} \end{aligned} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}^2}{\partial b_i} = \frac{2}{nd} \cdot f'(b_i) \sum_{k=1}^n r_{ki} A_{ki} y_{ki} \quad 23$$

So, finally

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}(-PLL) &= \frac{nd}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}^2}{\partial a_i} + \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ki}^2 Y_{ki} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} \end{aligned} \quad 24$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}(-PLL) = -n \frac{f'(b_i)}{f(b_i)} + f'(b_i) \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} y_{ki} \quad 25$$

## 5 Summary

Likelihoods, from Equations 12 and 21:

$$-LL_i = \underbrace{\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)}_{\text{scale}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(h(y_{ki}) - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2}}_{\text{residuals}} + \underbrace{-n \log f(b_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \log(1 + Y_{ki}^2)}_{\text{jacobian}} \quad 26$$

$$-PLL = \underbrace{\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2)}_{\text{scale}} + \underbrace{\frac{nd}{2}}_{\text{residuals}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^d \left( -n \log f(b_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \log(1 + Y_{ki}^2) \right)}_{\text{jacobian}} \quad 27$$

The computations in the C code are organised into steps for computing the terms “scale”, “residuals” and “jacobian”.

Partial derivatives with respect to  $a_i$ , from Equations 15 and 24:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}(-LL_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\sigma^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}(-PLL) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} \quad 29$$

Partial derivatives with respect to  $b_i$ , from Equations 16 and 25:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}(-LL_i) = -n \frac{f'(b_i)}{f(b_i)} + f'(b_i) \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\sigma^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} y_{ki} \quad 30$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}(-PLL) = -n \frac{f'(b_i)}{f(b_i)} + f'(b_i) \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{r_{ki}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + A_{ki} Y_{ki} \right) A_{ki} y_{ki}. \quad 31$$

Note that the terms have many similarities – this is used in the implementation in the C code.

## References

- [1] W. Huber, A. von Heydebreck, H. Sültmann, A. Poustka, and M. Vingron. Variance stablization applied to microarray data calibration and to quantification of differential expression. *Bioinformatics*, 18:S96–S104, 2002.
- [2] W. Huber, A. von Heydebreck, H. Sültmann, A. Poustka, and M. Vingron. Parameter estimation for the calibration and variance stabilization of microarray data. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*, Vol. 2: No. 1, Article 3, 2003. <http://www.bepress.com/sagmb/vol2/iss1/art3>