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## 1 Package Overview

AgiMicroRna provides useful functionality for the processing, quality assessment and differential expression analysis of Agilent microRNA array data. The package uses a limma-like structure to generate the processed data in order to make statistical inferences about differential expression using the linear model features implemented in limma. Standard Bioconductor objects are used so that other packages could be used as well.

AgiMicroRna reads into R [12] the scanned data exported by the Agilent Feature Extraction (AFE) image analysis software [1]. Standard graphical utilities can be used to evaluate the quality of the data.

AgiMicroRna includes a full data example that can be loaded into R in order to illustrate the capabilities of the package. The data come from human mesenchymal stem cells obtained from bone marrow. 100 ng of each RNA sample were hybridized onto Agilent Human microRNA Microarray v2.0 (G4470B, Agilent Technologies).

The Human microRNA microarray v 2.0 contains 723 human and 76 human viral microRNAs, each of them replicated 16 times. There are 362 microRNAs interrogated by 2 different oligonucleotides, 45 microRNAs by 3 and 390 microRNAs interrogated by 4 different oligonucleotides. Only 2 microRNAs are interrogated by the same oligonucleotide. The array contains also a set of positive and negative controls that are replicated a different number of times.

For the statistical analysis we need an estimate of the expression measure for every microRNA that has to be normalized between arrays. This processed signal is going to be used to make statistical inferences about the differential expression. In AgiMicroRna the processed microRNA signal can be obtained using two different protocols. The first uses the Total Gene Signal (TGS) computed by the AFE algorithm [1] whereas the second obtains an estimate of the gene signal using the RMA algorithm [8].

In more detail, the data processing for the first protocol is accomplished according to the following sequential steps: 1) Obtaining the Total microRNA Gene Signal processed by AFE, 2) normalization between arrays. For the RMA algorithm, the steps are slightly different: 1) The signal is background corrected using the exponential + normal convolution model, 2) the background signal
is normalized between arrays, and 3) the total gene signal is estimated from a linear model that takes into account the probe effect. The estimates of the model are obtained using a robust methods such as the median polish.

After obtaining the normalized total gene signal, some of the genes are eliminated from the analysis using some of the quality flags that AFE attaches to each feature. Finally, the processed signal that is going to be used to make statistical inferences is stored in a ExpressionSet object [7].

The differential expression analysis is accomplished using the linear model features implemented in limma [10]. A linear model is fitted to each microRNA gene so that the fold change between different experimental conditions and their associated standard errors can be estimated. Empirical Bayes methods are applied to obtain moderated statistics [9].

AgiMicroRna contains different functions to extract useful information from the objects generated by limma. A list of microRNAs with the different statistics obtained from the differential expression analysis ( M value, moderated t and F statistics, p values and FDR, etc ) is given. In addition, HTML files that contains links of the declared significant microRNAs to the Sanger miRBase $h t t p: / /$ microrna.sanger.ac.uk/ are given. MA plots highlighting the DEGs are also generated.

## 2 Target File

AgiMicroRna has been primarily designed to produce a processed data to be analyzed using the limma package. First, a target file is needed in order to assign each scanned data file to a given experimental group. The target file is a tabdelimited text format file created by the user where we specify the factors that are going to be included in the statistical model. The following columns have to be present in the target file. A first column FileName is mandatory and includes the image data files names. A second column Treatment is also mandatory and includes the treatment effect. The third column, GErep is also mandatory, and includes the treatment effect in a numeric code, from 1 to n , being n the number of levels of the treatment effect. Other columns in the target file are optional. They might included information about other explanatory variables specifying other experimental conditions, such age, gender, and blocking variables that take into the account the experimental design (paired, blocked designed, etc). These variables should be included in the target file for its eventual use in the limma model.

In the data example provided in AgiMicroRna we use microRNAs that have been measured in human mesenchymal stem cells obtained from bone marrow of 2 independent donors. We want to compare 2 treatments MSC_B and MSC_C with a control MSC_A. For the sake of simplicity we use only 2 replicates for each experimental condition. We define a treatment effect with 3 levels (A,B and C). We need to specify a GErep variable to specify the treatment levels using a numeric code, i.e. $(1,2,3)$. In Addition, each treatment has been applied to stem cells that have been obtained from the same individuals, so we have
a randomized blocked (by Subject) design. As we only have two levels of the blocking variable (subject), this is also known as a paired design. To consider the paired design in the statistical analysis we have to add an additional Subject variable in the target file that relates the individual to its sample. The target file for this example is shown in Table 1.

| FileName | Treatment | GErep | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| mscA1.txt | A | 1 | 1 |
| mscA2.txt | A | 1 | 2 |
| mscB1.txt | B | 2 | 1 |
| mscB2.txt | B | 2 | 2 |
| mscC1.txt | C | 3 | 1 |
| mscC2.txt | C | 3 | 2 |

Table 1: Targe file

After the user have define the target text file specifying their experimental conditions, the target file can be loaded into R using the AgiMicroRna function readTargets.

```
## NOT RUN
> library("AgiMicroRna")
> targets.micro=readTargets(infile="targets.txt",verbose=TRUE)
```

The function readTargets returns a data.frame. We can use the target included in AgiMicroRna to describe the microRNA data used to illustrate the capabilities of the package.

```
> library("AgiMicroRna")
> data(targets.micro)
> print(targets.micro)
```

|  | FileName | Treatment | GErep | Subject |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mscA1 | mscA1.txt | $A$ | 1 | 1 |
| mscA2 | mscA2.txt | $A$ | 1 | 2 |
| mscB1 | mscB1.txt | B | 2 | 1 |
| mscB2 | mscB2.txt | B | 2 | 2 |
| mscC1 | mscC1.txt | C | 3 | 1 |
| mscC2 | mscC2.txt | C | 3 | 2 |

## 3 Reading the data

We have used microRNA data example coming from human mesenchymal stem cells obtained from bone marrow of 2 independent donors. 100 ng of each RNA sample were hybridized onto Agilent Human microRNA Microarray v2.0 (G4470B, Agilent Technologies) The chips were scanned using the Agilent G2567AA

Microarray Scanner System (Agilent Technologies) following manufacturer instructions. Image analysis and data collection were carried out using the Agilent Feature Extraction 9.1.3.1 (AFE) [1]. with default settings.

To read the scanned data files into $R$ we use the readMicroRnaAFE function, which is a wrapper of the limma function read.maimages [10]. readMicroRnaAFE creates an RGList object that includes the variables that we need for the data processing and statistical analysis.

```
## NOT RUN
> dd.micro=readMicroRnaAFE(targets.micro,verbose=TRUE)
```

AgiMicroRna contains RGList dd.micro that can be used to explore the capabilities of the package. dd.micro can be loaded into $R$ using the data command.

```
> data(dd.micro)
> class(dd.micro)
[1] "RGList"
attr(,"package")
[1] "limma"
> dim(dd.micro)
```

[1] 137376

The variables stored in the RGList dd.micro are shown in Table 2.

```
> print(names(dd.micro))
```

[1] "R" "G" "Rb" "Gb" "targets" "genes" "other"

The ProbeName is an Agilent-assigned identifier for the probe synthesized on the microarray. The GeneName is an identifier for the gene for which the probe provides expression information. The target sequence identified by the systematic name is normally a representative or consensus sequence for the gene.

AFE obtains the gTotalGeneSignal as the TotalProbeSignal times the number of probes per gene. This signal can be used in the differential expression analysis after a possible normalization step. The gTotalProbeSignal is the robust average of all the processed signals for each replicated probe multiplied by the total number of probe replicates. These signals are used by AFE algorithms to estimate the gTotalGeneSignal. The gMeanSignal is the raw signal for every probe. These signals are processed by AFE to obtain the gProcessedSignal. The gProcessedSignal is obtained after all the AFE processing steps have been completed. Typically it contains the Multiplicatively Detrended BackgroundSubtracted Signal or the BackgroundSubtractedSignal. These signals are used by AFE algorithms to estimate the gTotalProbeSignal. The gBGMedianSignal is the median raw signal of the local background calculated

| variable | data |
| :---: | :--- |
| dd.micro\$R | gTotalGeneSignal |
| dd.micro\$G | gTotalProbeSignal |
| dd.micro\$Rb | gMeanSignal |
| dd.micro\$Gb | gProcessedSignal |
| dd.micro\$targets | File names |
| dd.micro\$genes\$ProbeName | Probe Name |
| dd.micro\$genes\$GeneName | microRNA Name |
| dd.micro\$genes\$ControlType | FLAG to specify the sort of feature |
| dd.micro\$other\$gIsGeneDetected | FLAG IsGeneDetected |
| dd.micro\$other\$gIsSaturated | FLAG IsSaturated |
| dd.micro\$other\$gIsFeatNonUnifOL | FLAG IsFeatNonUnifOL |
| dd.micro\$other\$gIsFeatPopn0L | FLAG IsFeatPopnOL |
| dd.micro\$other\$chr_coord | CHR coordinate from Agilent data files |
| dd.micro\$other\$gBGMedianSignal | gBGMedianSignal |
| dd.micro\$other\$gBGUsed | gBGUsed |

Table 2: Variables stored in the RGList object by readMicroRnaAFE
from intensities of all inlier pixels that represent the local background of the feature. The gBGUsed is the background signal computed by AFE algorithms. Usually the gBGUsed is the sum of the local background plus the spatial detrending surface value computed by the AFE software. The spatial detrend surface value estimates the noise due to a systematic gradient on the array and it is estimated using the loess algorithm.

AFE attaches to each feature a flag that identifies different quantification errors of the signal. These quantification flags can be used to filter out signals that do not reach a minimum established criterion of quality. gIsGeneDetected is a Boolean variable that informs if the gene was detected on the microRNA microarray. This flag considers a probe detected if the signal is three times the error. If one probe of the set of probes comprising a gene is detected, the gene is considered detected, $(1=$ IsDetected $0=$ IsNotDetected). gIsSaturated is Boolean flag. 1 indicates that the feature is saturated, i.e. at least half of the inlier pixels in the feature have intensities above the saturation threshold. gIsFeatureNonUnifOL is Boolean flag. 1 indicates that the feature is a non-uniformity outlier; the measured feature pixel variance is greater than the expected feature pixel variance plus the confidence interval. gIsFeatPopOL is Boolean flag. 1 indicates that the feature is a population outlier.

Finally, the dd.micro\$targets contain the name of the files equal to those in target file and the dd\$other\$chr_coord variable contains the chromosomal coordinates provided by the Agilent manufacturer.

## 4 Plotting Functions

AgiMicroRna includes functions to create boxplots, density plots, MA plots, Relative Log Expression (RLE) [4], and hierarchical cluster of samples that can assist the user in assessing the quality of the data as well as in checking the performance of the processing steps.

All these graphical utilites are included in the qcPlots wrapper function. qcPlots can be called using different intensity signals. For the gMeanSignal, the boxplots, density plots, MA plots, RLE plots and hierachical clustering plots are produced. For the gProcessedSignal the same plots are done, except the hierarchical clustering. For the gTotalProbeSignal and the gTotalGeneSignal only the boxplots and density plots are done, and finally, for the background signals only the boxplots are done.

The MA plots represent the fold-change (M) in the $y$-axis against the average log expression (A) for two given arrays. To reduce the number of pairwise comparison MA plots displayed, qcPlots uses a reference array to which the rest of arrays are compared. The signal values of the reference array are computed as the median spots taken over the whole set of arrays Every kind of feature is identified with different color. of feature is identified with different color.

The RLE plot displays for each sample a Boxplot with the Relative Log Expression (RLE) [4]. The RLE is computed for every spot in the array as the difference between the spot and the median of the same spot across all the arrays. If the majority of the spots are expected not to be differentially expressed, the boxplots should be centered on zero and all of them with approximately the same dispersion.
qcPlots makes a hierarchical cluster of the samples using the hclust function of the stats package. We can make a hierarchical clustering of samples either using the whole set of genes or using for instance only the 100 genes that show the highest variability across arrays. The options for the distance measures are euclidean and pearson. The variables that distinguish the experimental conditions from one another are the differential expressed genes, and that the number of genes may be few relative to the full set of genes of the data set, and hence the cluster analysis will often not reflect the influence of these relevant genes. Therefore if the percentage of differential expression is low, the samples might not be grouped according to their experimental group, since the whole set of genes has very little information regarding the experimental grouping, and the plot will mainly show other grouping features or simply random noise.

A typical call to the qcPlots using the Mean Signal intensity is like:

```
> qcPlots(dd.micro, offset = 5, MeanSignal = TRUE, ProcessedSignal = FALSE,
+ TotalProbeSignal = FALSE, TotalGeneSignal = FALSE, BGMedianSignal = FALSE,
+ BGUsed = FALSE, targets.micro)
```

The same plots can be also generated calling the corresponding functions individually. Next we show how to use these functions using the gMeanSignal. Recall, that the gMeanSignal is stored in dd.micro\$Rb.

```
> boxplotMicroRna(log2(dd.micro$Rb), maintitle = "log2 Mean Signal",
+ colorfill = "orange")
```



```
> plotDensityMicroRna(log2(dd.micro$Rb), maintitle = "log2 Mean Signal")
```



```
> ddaux = dd.micro
> ddaux$G = log2(dd.micro$Rb)
> mvaMicroRna(ddaux, maintitle = "log2 Mean Signal", verbose = FALSE)
> rm(ddaux)
```

log2 Mean Signal mscA1 - genewise Median


```
> RleMicroRna(log2(dd.micro$Rb), maintitle = "log2 Mean Signal - RLE")
```



```
> hierclusMicroRna(log2(dd.micro$Rb), targets.micro$GErep, methdis = "euclidean",
+ methclu = "complete", sel = TRUE, 100)
```

HCLUST EUCLIDEAN - high variance genes


## 5 Array reproducibility

In the Agilent microRNA platforms normally each microRNA gene is interrogated by 16 probes either using 2 different probes, each of them replicated 8 times, or using 4 different probes replicated 4 times. The probe level replication allows the computation of the coefficient of variation (CV) for each array.

The cvArray identifies the replicated non-controlprobes for each feature in the array and computes CV for every microRNA probe set. Then, the median of the CV for each probe set is reported as the array reproducibility. A lower CV median indicates a better array reproducibility.

A set of boxplots shows the CV at a probe level for each array.
To obtain the CV using the cvArray function, we can either choose the MeanSignal or ProcessedSignal.

```
> cvArray(dd.micro, "MeanSignal", targets.micro, verbose = TRUE)
Foreground: MeanSignal
```

    FILTERING BY ControlType FLAG
    ```
RAW DATA:
13737
PROBES without CONTROLS:
12784
```


Replication at Probe level- MEDIAN CV
mscA1 mscA2 mscB1 mscB2 mscC1 mscC2
$0.0350 .0350 .034 \quad 0.0320 .0320 .035$
DISTRIBUTION OF REPLICATED Noncontrol Genes
reps
$\begin{array}{lll}15 & 16 & 17\end{array}$
17971


## 6 Total Gene Signal

Normally, in the Agilent platfomrs, each microRNA is interrogated by 16 probes either using 2 different probes, each of them replicated 8 times, or using 4 different probes replicated 4 times. In AgiMicroRna the processed gene signal that is going to be analyzed can be obtained using two different protocols. The first uses the gTotalGeneSignal (TGS) computed by the AFE algorithm [1] and stored in the RGList (dd.micro\$R) after reading the data. The second option obtains an estimate of the normalized gene signal using the RMA algorithm [8]. The RMA method is explained in the next section.

The function tgsMicroRna creates an RGList containing the gTotalGeneSignal processed by the AFE software. This signal might be used for making statistical inferences after a possible normalization step. The TGS processed by AFE [1] may contain negative values. To obtain signals with positive values we can either add a positive small constant (offset) to all the signals or we can select the half option in tgsMicroRna, which set to 0.5 all the values smaller than 0.5. To use the offset option we have to set half=FALSE, otherwise the half method is used by default. The offset option, adds to each signal the quantity (abs $(\min (d d T G S \$ R))+$ offset), where ddTGS\$R is the matrix that contains the gTotalGeneSignal.

```
> ddTGS = tgsMicroRna(dd.micro, half = TRUE, makePLOT = FALSE,
+ verbose = FALSE)
```

Finally, tgsMicroRna stores the estimated TGS in ddTGS\$G ddTGS\$R, ddTGS\$Gb and ddTGS\$Rb. Be aware that this TGS is not in log2 scale.

## 7 Normalization between arrays

To make direct comparisons of data coming from different chips it is important to remove sources of variation of non biological nature that may exists between arrays. Systematic non-biological differences between chips become apparent in several obvious ways especially in labeling and in hybridization, and bias the relative measures on any two chips when we want to quantify the differences in treatment of two samples. Normalization is the attempt to compensate for systematic technical differences between chips, to see more clearly the biological differences between samples.

AgiMicroRna uses two strategies to obtain a gene signal estimate normalized between arrays. The first simply uses the TGS signal processed by the AFE algorithms [1] as it was described in the last section. This TGS can be normalized between arrays using either the quantile (default) [3],[5] or the scale methods. AgiMicroRna incorporates the limma function normalizeBetweenArrays with options ('none','quantile','scale') [10], [11]. If we use the none option the TGS is only $\log 2$ transformed.

```
> ddNORM = tgsNormalization(ddTGS, "quantile", makePLOTpre = FALSE,
+ makePLOTpost = FALSE, targets.micro, verbose = TRUE)
```

```
---------------------------------------------------------
NORMMALIZATION: quantile
OUTPUT in log-2 scale
```

tgsNormalization creates an RGList object containing the normalized total gene signal in $\log 2$ scale. If we set makePLOTpre $=$ TRUE and makePLOTpost $=$ TRUE, a density plot, a boxplot, and MA plot, a Relative Log Expression plot (RLE) [4] and a hierarchical clustering plot are constructed using the data before and after normalization, respectively.

The second alternative implemented in AgiMicroRna to obtain an estimate of the normalized signal for each microRNA uses the robust multiarray average (RMA) method [8] implemented in the affy package [6]. RMA obtains an estimate of the expression measure for each gene using all the probe measures for that gene. First, the signal is background corrected (optional) by fitting a normal + exponential convolution model to the vector of observed intensities. The normal part represents the background and the exponential part represents the signal intensities [8]. Then the arrays are normalized (optional) using the quantile normalization [3], [5]. Finally, an estimate of the microRNA gene signal is obtained fitting a linear model to , $\log 2$ transformed probe intensities. This model produces an estimate of the gene signal taking into account the probe effect. The model parameters estimates are obtained by the median polish algorithm.

The rmaMicroRna is a wrapper function that incorporates different function to obtain an RMA estimate for the signal of each microRNA. First, the rmaMicroRna the signal can be background corrected using the rma. background. correct function of the preprocessCore [2], then the signal may be normalized between arrays using the limma function normalizeBetweenArrays [10], [11], with the quantile method [3], [5]. Then, the median of the replicated probes is obtained, leading to either 2 or 4 different measures for each gene. These measures correspond to different probes measure for the same gene. These probe measure intensities are $\log 2$ transformed and then summarized into a single gene measure by the rma_c_complete_copy of the affy package [6].

```
> ddTGS.rma = rmaMicroRna(dd.micro, normalize = TRUE, background = TRUE)
```

To get some plots with the gene signal processed by RMA, we can use the code of the following example. Remember that the rmaMicroRna() ouput is in $\log 2$ scale.

```
> MMM=ddTGS.rma$Rb
> colnames(MMM)=colnames(dd.micro$Rb)
> maintitle='TGS.rma'
> colorfill='blue'
> ddaux=ddTGS.rma
> ddaux$G=MMM
> mvaMicroRna(ddaux,maintitle,verbose=TRUE)
```

```
> rm(ddaux)
> RleMicroRna(MMM,"RLE TGS.rma",colorfill)
> boxplotMicroRna(MMM,maintitle,colorfill)
> plotDensityMicroRna(MMM,maintitle)
```

After the total gene signal have been obtained, either extracting the TGS produced by AFE, or using the RMA algorithm, we can filter out the signals using the FLAGS attached to them by the AFE algorithm.

## 8 Filtering Probes

The AFE attach to each feature a flag that identifies different quantification errors of the signal that can be used to filter out the microRNAs that do not reach a minimum of quality. This filtering is normally done after the Total Gene Signal has been normalized. Different filtering criteria can be established to be more or less demanding. With low number of replicates probably we need to set more restrictive filtering limits.

The steps that are currently implemented in filterMicroRna are to remove control features, to remove gene that are not detected (gIsGeneDetected $=0$ ), and to filter out microRNAs which expression do not reach a certain level based on the expression of the negative controls.

Basically, the gIsGeneDetected filtering removes microRNAs that are not expressed in any experimental condition. To do that, for a given feature xi across samples, we set limit that is the minimum \% of features that they must remain in at least one experimental condition with a gIsGeneDetected-FLAG $=$ 1 (Is Detected).

Additionally, if we want to be more demanding, we can remove the microRNAs whose expression are close to the expression of the negative control features. As before se set a limit for the \% of microRNAs that they must above a Limit expression value, in at least, one of the experimental conditions. The Limit expression value is defined as Mean(negative control expression) +1.5 x SD (negative control expression)

The function returns a RGList containing the FILTERED data. In order to allow the tracking of features that may have been filtered out from the original raw data, the following files are given:

NOCtrl_FlagIsGeneDetected.txt: IsGeneDetected Flag for the Non Control Genes, $1=$ detected IsNOTGeneDetected.txt: Genes that do not are not detected according to IsGeneDetected Flag

To continue the with the illustration we use the total gene signal estimated by the Agilent Feature Extraction software and normalized by quantile (ddNORM). We could have use the ddTGS.rma obtained by RMA as well.

```
> ddPROC = filterMicroRna(ddNORM, dd.micro, control = TRUE, IsGeneDetected = TRUE,
+ wellaboveNEG = FALSE, limIsGeneDetected = 50, limNEG = 25,
+ makePLOT = FALSE, targets.micro, verbose = TRUE)
```

```
FILTERING PROBES BY FLAGS
FILTERING BY ControlType
    FEATURES BEFORE FILTERING: 821
        FEATURES AFTER ControlType FILTERING: 799
FILTERING BY IsGeneDetected FLAG
    FLAG FILTERING OPTIONS - FLAG OK = 1 - limIsGeneDetected: 50 %
    FEATURES AFTER IsGeneDetected FILTERING: 320
    NON Gene Detected : 479
```


## 9 creating an ExpressionSet object

After all the processing steps the esetMicroRna creates an ExpressionSet object [7] that can be used for the statistical analysis. If we set makePLOT=TRUE some plots are displayed (Heatmaps, PCAs)

```
> esetPROC = esetMicroRna(ddPROC, targets.micro, makePLOT = FALSE,
+ verbose = TRUE)
outPUT DATA: esetPROC
Features Samples
    320 6
```

The processed data can be written in an output file using the function writeEset
> writeEset(esetPROC, ddPROC, targets.micro, verbose = TRUE)

## 10 Differential expression analysis using LIMMA

The esetPROC contains the Total Gene Signal for every microRNA that have passed the filtering process, basically, those microRNAs that are expressed in at least one of our experimental conditions. This signals are used to look for the microRNAs that are differentially expressed between our experimental conditions. A linear model is fitted to each microRNA gene so that the fold change between different experimental conditions and their standard errors can be estimated. Empirical Bayes methods are applied to obtain moderated statistics. The functions of the limma [10] are used to that end.

### 10.1 Linear Model

In our case, we had a paired design (by subject) and we want to compare treatment B and C vs. a control treatment A . The linear model that we are going to fit to every microRNA is going to be $\mathrm{y}=$ Treatment + Subject + error term. This model is going to estimate the treatment effect. Then, the comparison of interest are done by establishing contrasts between the estimates of the treatment effects.

First, we define the factors that we are going to use in our model formula: treatment and subject. We use the structure defined in the targets.micro data frame. One way of doing this could be this way:

```
> levels.treatment = levels(factor(targets.micro$Treatment))
> treatment = factor(as.character(targets.micro$Treatment), levels = levels.treatment)
> levels.subject = levels(factor(targets.micro$Subject))
> subject = factor(as.character(targets.micro$Subject), levels = levels.subject)
```


### 10.2 Fitting the model. Design and Contrast Matrices

To fit the model, we need to define a design matrix. The design matrix is an incidence matrix that relates each array/sample/file to its given experimental conditions, in our case, relates each file to one of the three treatments and to the subjects (paired design by subject). We can define the design matrix using model.matrix ( $-1+$ treatment + subject $)$. This will specify a model without intercept that will give us the estimates for: treatmentA, treatmentB, treatmentC and subject2. R use by default the contr.treatment parameterization, which means that each level is compared with its baseline level (which is set to 0 to avoid singularities). If we specify a model without intercept, no singularities are produced for the treatment factor, so we get estimates for all its levels. For the subject factor (two levels), we only get an estimate for the subject 2, which is interpreted as the difference with respect to subject1 (baseline $=0$ ).

We can specify the design matrix as:

```
> design = model.matrix(~-1 + treatment + subject)
> print(design)
    treatmentA treatmentB treatmentC subject2
1 1 0
2 1 0
3 0 1 1 0
4 0 1 1 0
5 0 0
6 0 0
attr(,"assign")
[1] 1 1 1 2
```

```
attr(,"contrasts")
attr(,"contrasts")$treatment
[1] "contr.treatment"
attr(,"contrasts")$subject
[1] "contr.treatment"
```

Then the linear model can be fitted using lmFit from limma [10]. This will get the treatment estimates for each microRNA in the ExpressionSet object.

```
> fit = lmFit(esetPROC, design)
> names(fit)
```

| [1] "coefficie | ents" " | 'rank" | "assign" | "qr" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [5] "df.residu | aal" " | "sigma" | "cov.coefficients" | "stdev.unscaled" |
| [9] "pivot" |  | "genes" | "Amean" | "method" |
| [13] "design" |  |  |  |  |
| > print (head(fit | it\$coeff)) |  |  |  |
|  | treatmentA | A treatmentB | treatmentC subject2 |  |
| hsa-miR-152 | 7.5721096 | 67.655674 | 7.566486 -0.1156653 |  |
| hsa-miR-15a* | 0.9264631 | 1.065891 | $1.210531-0.2241877$ |  |
| hsa-miR-337-5p | 6.2447897 | 7.297695 | $7.083908-0.4488954$ |  |
| hsa-miR-505* | 1.1186190 | - 1.242744 | 1.5063250 .4223107 |  |
| hsa-miR-129-3p | 3.0385412 | 2.215383 | 1.1102680 .2156636 |  |
| hsa-miR-125b | 13.0228097 | 13.022810 | $13.103000-0.1069200$ |  |

To compare A vs. B and A vs. C, we can define the contrasts of interest using a contrast matrix as in

```
> CM = cbind(MSC_AvsMSC_B = c(1, -1, 0, 0), MSC_AvsMSC_C = c(1,
+ 0, -1, 0))
> print(CM)
    MSC_AvsMSC_B MSC_AvsMSC_C
\begin{tabular}{lrr}
{\([1]\),} & 1 & 1 \\
{\([2]\),} & -1 & 0 \\
{\([3]\),} & 0 & -1 \\
{\([4]\),} & 0 & 0
\end{tabular}
```

And then, we can estimate those contrasts using contrasts.fit from limma [10].

```
> fit2 = contrasts.fit(fit, CM)
> names(fit2)
```

```
    [1] "coefficients" "rank" "assign" "qr"
    [5] "df.residual" "sigma" "cov.coefficients" "stdev.unscaled"
    [9] "genes" "Amean" "method" "design"
[13] "contrasts"
> print(head(fit2$coeff))
        MSC_AvsMSC_B MSC_AvsMSC_C
hsa-miR-152 -0.0835648 0.005624054
hsa-miR-15a* -0.1394283 -0.284067826
hsa-miR-337-5p -1.0529050 -0.839118503
hsa-miR-505* -0.1241254 -0.387705904
hsa-miR-129-3p 0.8231583 1.928273625
hsa-miR-125b 0.0000000 -0.080189971
```

Finally, we can obtain moderated statistics using eBayes from limma [10], [9].

```
> fit2 = eBayes(fit2)
```

> names(fit2)

| [1] | "coefficients" | "rank" | "assign" | "qr" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [5] | "df.residual" | "sigma" | "cov.coefficients" | "stdev.unscaled" |
| [9] | "genes" | "Amean" | "method" | "design" |
| [13] | "contrasts" | "df.prior" | "s2.prior" | "var.prior" |
| [17] | "proportion" | "s2.post" | "t" | "p.value" |
| [21] | "lods" | "F" | "F.p.value" |  |

The function basicLimma implemented in AgiMicroRna gathers all the last steps in a function to produces the last MarrayLM fit2 object.

This MarrayLM object includes, amongst other things, the log fold change of the contrasts (M value stored in fit2\$coeff), along with its moderated-t statistic (stored in fit\$t) and its corresponding p value (in fit2\$p.value). Be aware that to obtain correct inferences these $p$ values must be corrected by some multiple testing procedure.

```
> fit2 = basicLimma(esetPROC, design, CM, verbose = TRUE)
```


### 10.3 Selecting significant microRNAs

getDecideTests uses the decideTests function from the limma package [10] to classify the list of genes as up, down or not significant, taking into account the multiplicity of the tests. getDecideTests summarizes the number of up and down genes for every contrasts according to the specified $p$ value threshold. When we have multiple contrasts, the significant genes can be selected using different strategies that are specified by DEmethod. In getDecideTests only separate and nestedF options are implemented. See decideTests in limma [10]

```
> DE = getDecideTests(fit2, DEmethod = "separate", MTestmethod = "BH",
+ PVcut = 0.1, verbose = TRUE)
Method for Selecting DEGs: separate
Multiple Testing method: BH - pval 0.1
```

|  | MSC_AvsMSC_B | MSC_AvsMSC_C |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| UP | 26 | 7 |
| DOWN | 19 | 8 |

pvalHistogram depicts a histogram of the p values. For multiple contrasts, the function creates a histogram for every t.test $p$ value (separate) or a single histogram for the F.test pvalue (nestedF). The histograms of the pvalues give us an idea about the amount of differential expression that we have in our data. A uniform histogram will indicate no differential expression in the data set, whereas a right skewed histogram, will indicate some significant differential expression

```
> pvalHistogram(fit2, DE, PVcut = 0.1, DEmethod = "separate", MTestmethod = "BH",
+ CM, verbose = TRUE)
```

The function significantMicroRna summarizes the results of the differential expression analysis extracting information from the MArrayLM and TestResults objects generated by the limma functions. significantMicroRna creates a list containing the genes with their relevant statistics. The significant genes above the PVcut p values are also given in a html file that links the selected microRNAs to the miRBase http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/. MA plots highlighting the differentially expressed genes are also displayed.

```
> significantMicroRna(esetPROC, ddPROC, targets.micro, fit2, CM,
+ DE, DEmethod = "separate", MTestmethod = "BH", PVcut = 0.1,
+ Mcut = O, verbose = TRUE)
```

When multiple contrasts are done, the method for the selection of the significant genes can be either separated or nestedF. See decideTests in limma [10] for a detailed description on these two methods. When separated is plugged in into the significantMicroRna function then a list including all the genes that have been analyzed is given for each contrast. These lists contain the statistics obtained from the differential expression analysis. The information that is given for each gene is shown in Table 3.

The html output files with links to the miRBase http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/ only includes the microRNAs that have been declared as significant. Sometimes when we correct by multiple testing we cannot declare any single gene as differentially expressed so the html files are not created. Despite of the fact that no gene is been declared significant, the user might be interested in having a

| variable | data |
| :---: | :--- |
| PROBE | Probe name (one of the probes interrogating the gene) |
| GENE | microRNA name |
| PROBE chr_coord | Agilent chromosomal coordinate |
| M | Fold change |
| A | Mean of the intensity for that microRNA |
| t | moderated t-statistic |
| pval | p value of the t-statistic |
| adj.pval | p value adjusted by MTestmethod |
| fdr.pval | p value adjusted by fdr |

Table 3: Statistics given by significantMicroRna for separate
link to the miRBase for the top ranked differentially expressed genes. When this happens, we can be set MTestmethod $=$ none. Since the adj.pval is the p value adjusted by the $M T$ estmethod $=$ none, then it will be the p value without any correction. in this case, it might be interesting to have the fdr value, despite of the fact that the user has decided not apply any multiple testing correction. That is why, an additional column fdr.pval is included containing the $p$ values corrected by fdr, independent of the method that we have specify in MTestmethod. Be aware that a multiple testing correction should be used.

If the nestedF is used, then two lists are printed out for each contrast. A first set of lists containing the selected significant genes, and a second group of lists containing the rest of the genes that have been analyzed and they do not have been declared significant. The columns given in this case in the output files are shown in Table 4.

| variable | data |
| :---: | :--- |
| PROBE | Probe name (one of the probes interrogating the gene) |
| GENE | microRNA name |
| PROBE chr_coord | Agilent chromosomal coordinate |
| M | Fold change |
| A | Mean of the intensity for that microRNA |
| t | moderated t-statistic |
| F | F statistic (null hypothesis: $\mathrm{Ci}=\mathrm{Cj}$, for all contrasts $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) |
| t pval | p value of the t-statistic |
| adj.F.pval | F p value adjusted by mTestmethod |
| fdr.F.pval | F p value adjusted by fdr |

Table 4: Statistics given by significantMicroRna for nestedF
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